Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,311	PETERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian L Fronda	1652
All Participants: Status of Application: 61 /FINAL REJECTION MAILED		
(1) <u>Christian L Fronda</u> .	(3) <u>Frank Cottingham</u> .	
(2) <u>Judith Kim</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 October 2004	Time: <u>1:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph, written description requirement.		
Claims discussed: 1-5 and 11-15		
Prior art documents discussed:  NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
ı (Examiner/SPE Signature) — (Applicant	Applicant a Nepresentative of	ignature in appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The rejection of clams 1-5 and 11-15 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, was discussed. Applicants proposed amendments to the claims in order to over come this rejection of record.